#### **Synchronization Primitives**

# Synchronization Mechanisms

- Locks
  - Very primitive constructs with minimal semantics
- Semaphores
  - A generalization of locks
  - Easy to understand, hard to program with
- Condition Variables
  - Constructs used in implementing *monitors* (more on this later...)

# Locks

- Synchronization mechanisms with 2 operations: acquire(), and release()
- In simplest terms: an object associated with a particular critical section that you need to "own" if you wish to execute in that region
- Simple semantics to provide mutual exclusion: acquire(lock);

//CRITICAL SECTION

release(lock);

- Downsides:
  - Can cause deadlock if not careful
  - Cannot allow multiple concurrent accesses to a resourc

# **POSIX Locks**

- POSIX locks are called mutexes (since locks provide mutual exclusion...)
- A few calls associated with POSIX mutexes: pthread\_mutex\_init (mutex, attr)
  - Initialize a mutex
  - pthread\_mutex\_destroy (mutex)
    - Destroy a mutex

pthread\_mutex\_lock (mutex)

• Acquire the lock

pthread\_mutex\_trylock(mutex)

- Try to acquire the lock (more on this later...) pthread\_mutex\_unlock (mutex)
  - Release the lock

# Initializing & Destroying POSIX Mutexes

- POSIX mutexes can be created statically or dynamically
  - Statically, using PTHREAD\_MUTEX\_INITIALIZER pthread\_mutex\_t mx = PTHREAD\_MUTEX\_INITIALIZER;
    - Will initialize the mutex will default attributes
    - Only use for static mutexes; no error checking is performed
  - Dynamically, using the pthread\_mutex\_init call
    - int pthread\_mutex\_init(pthread\_mutex\_t \* mutex, const pthread\_mutexattr\_t \* attr);
      - mutex: the mutex to be initialized
      - attr: structure whose contents are used at mutex creation to determine the mutex's attributes
        - Same idea as pthread\_attr\_t attributes for threads
- Destroy using pthread\_mutex\_destroy

int pthread\_mutex\_destroy(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);

- mutex: the mutex to be destroyed
  - Make sure it's unlocked! (destroying a locked mutex leads to undefined behaviour...)

# Acquiring and Releasing POSIX Locks

#### • Acquire

int pthread\_mutex\_lock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);

- mutex: the mutex to lock (acquire)
- If mutex is already locked by another thread, the call will block until the mutex is unlocked

int pthread\_mutex\_trylock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);

- mutex: the mutex to TRY to lock (acquire)
- If mutex is already locked by another thread, the call will return a "busy" error code (EBUSY)

#### Release

int pthread\_mutex\_unlock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);

• mutex: the mutex to unlock (release)

- Bank account balance maintained in one variable int balance
- Transactions: deposit or withdraw some amount from the account (+/- balance)
- Unprotected, concurrented accesses to your balance could create race conditions

Thread 1 withdraws 100

int new\_balance = balance amount;

• Thread 2 withdraws 100

int new\_balance = balance amount;

balance = new\_balance;

balance = new\_balance;

- End with balance 100 instead of balance 200
- Bank error in your favour? Cold be the other way around!
- Idea: put a lock around the code that modifies balance so only a single thread accesses it at any given time

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#define NUM THREADS200
int balance=0;
pthread mutex t bal mutex;
int main (int argc, char *argv[]){
 pthread t thread[NUM THREADS];
 int rc;
 long t;
 void *status;
 pthread mutex init(&bal mutex, NULL);
 for(t=0; t<NUM THREADS; t+=2) {
   rc = pthread create(&thread[t], NULL, deposit, (void *)10);
   if (rc) {
     printf("ERROR; return code from pthread create() is %d\n", rc);
     exit(-1);
   rc = pthread create(&thread[t+1], NULL, widthdraw, (void *)10);
   if (rc) {
    printf("ERROR; return code from pthread create() is d^n, rc);
    exit(-1):
```

```
(...)
 for(t=0; t<NUM_THREADS; t++) {</pre>
   rc = pthread_join(thread[t], &status);
   if (rc) {
     printf("ERROR; return code from pthread_join() is %d\n", rc);
     exit(-1);
   }
 printf("Final Balance is %d.\n", balance);
 pthread_exit(NULL);
}
```

# **Banking Example - Transactions**

void \*deposit(void \*amt){

pthread\_mutex\_lock(&bal\_mutex);

//CRITICAL SECTION
int amount = (int)amt;
int new\_balance = balance +
amount;

```
balance = new_balance;
```

```
pthread_mutex_unlock(&bal_mutex)
;
```

```
pthread_exit((void *)0);
```

}

void \*withdraw(void \*amt){

pthread\_mutex\_lock(&bal\_mutex);

//CRITICAL SECTION
int amount = (int)amt;
int new\_balance = balance amount;
balance = new\_balance;

pthread\_mutex\_unlock(&bal\_mutex)
;

```
pthread_exit((void *)0);
```

# Semaphore

- Synchronization mechanism that generalizes locks to more than just "acquired" and "free" (or "released")
- A semaphore provides you with:
  - An integer count accessed through 2 atomic operations
  - Wait aka: down, decrement, P (for proberen)
    - Block until semaphore is free, then decrement the variable
  - Signal aka: up, post, increment, V (for verhogen)
    - Increment the variable and unblock a waiting thread (if there are any)
- A mutex was just a binary semaphore (remember pthread\_mutex\_lock blocked if another thread was holding the lock)
- A queue of waiting threads

# **POSIX Semaphores**

- Declared in semaphore.h
- A few calls associated with POSIX semaphores: sem\_init
  - Initialize the semaphore
  - sem\_wait
    - Wait on the semaphore (decrement value)
  - sem\_post
  - Signal (post) on the semaphore (increment value) sem\_getvalue
    - Get the current value of the semaphore
  - sem\_destroy
    - Destroy the semaphore

# Initializing & Destroying POSIX Semaphores

- Initialize semaphores using sem\_init int sem\_init(sem\_t \*sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
  - sem: the semaphore to initialize
  - pshared: non-zero to share between processes
  - value: initial count value of the semaphore
- Destroy semaphores using sem\_destroy int sem\_destroy(sem\_t \*sem);
  - sem: semaphore to destroy
  - Semaphore must have been created using sem\_init
  - Destroying a semaphore that has threads blocked on it is undefined.

# Decrementing & Incrementing POSIX Semaphores

- Decrement semaphores using sem\_wait int sem\_wait(sem\_t \*sem);
  - sem: the semaphore to decrement (wait on)

- Increment semaphores using sem\_post int sem\_post(sem\_t \*sem);
  - sem: semaphore to increment
- Let's look at an example of a very simple server simulation...

### Server Example

(...) #define NUM\_THREADS200 #define NUM\_RESOURCES10sem\_t resource\_sem; //Sempahore declaration

```
int main (int argc, char *argv[])
{ pthread t thread[NUM THREADS];
 int rc;
 int i;
 void *status;
   sem init(&resource sem, 0, NUM RESOURCES); //Resource Semaphore
 for(i=0; i<NUM THREADS; i++) {</pre>
   rc = pthread create(&thread[i], NULL, handle connection, (void *)i);
   if (rc) {
    printf("ERROR; return code from pthread create() is %d\n", rc);
    exit(-1);
(...)
 for(i=0; i<NUM THREADS; i++) {</pre>
   rc = pthread join(thread[i], &status);
   if (rc) {
     printf("ERROR; return code from pthread join() is (n, rc);
     exit(-1);
 return 0;
}//End of main
```

### Server Example – Connection Handler

void \*handle\_connection(void \*client){
 printf ("Handler for client %d created!\n", (int)client);

sem\_wait(&resource\_sem);

//DO WORK TO HANDLE CONNECTION HERE
sleep(1);
printf ("Done servicing client %d\n", (int) client);

sem\_post(&resource\_sem);

```
pthread_exit((void *)0);
```

# **Condition Variables**

- Another useful synchronization construct used in implementing monitors only a single process execute inside the monitor
- Locks control thread access to data; condition variables allow threads to synchronize based on the value of the data.
- Alternative to condition variables is to constantly poll the variable (from the critical section)
  - BAD!
  - Ties up a lot of CPU resources
  - Could potentially lead to synchronization problems
- Monitors support suspending execution within the monitor
  - wait() (suspend the invoking process and release the lock)
  - signal() (resume exactly one suspended process)
  - broadcast() (resumes all suspended processes)
  - If no process is suspended, signal/broadcast has no effect (in contrast to semaphores, where signal always changes state of the semaphore)

# **POSIX Condition Variables**

- POSIX condition variables: pthred\_cond\_t
- A few calls associated with POSIX CVs: int pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond, pthread\_condattr\_t \*attr);
  - Initialize a condition variable
  - int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);
    - Destroy a condition variable

int pthread\_cond\_wait (pthread\_cond\_t \*cond, pthread\_mutex\_t
\*mutex);

• Wait on a condition variable

int pthread\_cond\_signal(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);

- Wake up one thread waiting on this condition variable int pthread\_cond\_broadcast(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);
  - Wake up all threads waiting on this condition variable

#### Using Condition Variables (from LLNL tutorial)

Main Thread - Declare and initialize global data/variables which require synchronization (such as "count") - Declare and initialize a condition variable object - Declare and initialize an associated mutex -Create threads A and B to do work	
Thread A - Do work up to the point where a certain condition must occur (such as "count" must reach a specified value) - Lock associated mutex and check value of a global variable - Call pthread_cond_wait() to perform a blocking wait for signal from Thread-B. Note that a call to pthread_cond_wait()automatically and atomically unlocks the associated mutex variable so that it can be used by Thread-B. - When signalled, wake up. Mutex is automatically and atomically locked. - Explicitly unlock mutex - Continue	Thread B - Do work - Lock associated mutex - Change the value of the global variable that Thread-A is waiting upon. - Check value of the global Thread-A wait variable. If it fulfills the desired condition, signal Thread-A. - Unlock mutex. - Continue
Main Thready Join / Continue	

Main Thread: Join / Continue

# Monitors

- Locks
  - Provide mutual exclusion
  - 2 operations: acquire() and release()
- Semaphores
  - Generalize locks with an integer count variable and a thread queue
  - 2 operations: wait() and signal()
  - If the integer count is negative, threads wait in a queue until another thread signals the semaphore
- Monitors
  - An abstraction that encapsulates shared data and operations on it in such a way that only a single process at a time may be executing "in" the monitor

## More on Monitors

- Programmer defines the scope of the monitor
  - ie: which data is "monitored"
- Local data can be accessed only by the monitor's procedures (not by any external procedures)
- Before any monitor procedure may be invoked, mutual exclusion must be guaranteed
  - There is often a lock associated with each monitored object
- Other processes that attempt to enter the monitor are blocked. They must first acquire the lock before becoming active in the monitor

# **Complications With Monitors**

- Complication
  - A process may need to wait for something to happen
    - Input from another thread might be necessary for example
  - The other thread may require access to the monitor to produce that event
- Solution?
  - Monitors support suspending execution within the monitor
    - wait() (suspend the invoking process and release the lock)
    - signal() (resume exactly one suspended process)
    - broadcast() (resumes allsuspended processes)
      - If no process is suspended, signal/broadcast has no effect (in contrast to semaphores, where signal always changes state of the semaphore)

# Monitor signal() ; who goes first?

- Suppose P executes a signal operation that would wake up a suspended process Q
  - Either process can continue execution, but both cannot simultaneously be active in the monitor
- Who goes first?
  - Hoare monitors: waiter first
    - signal() immediately switches from the caller to a waiting thread
    - Condition that the waiter was blocked on is guaranteed to hold when the waiter resumes
  - Mesa monitors: signaler first
    - signal() places a waiter on the ready queue, but signaler continues inside the monitor
    - Condition that the waiter was blocked on is not guaranteed to hold when the waiter resumes (must check again...)

### Hoare vs. Mesa Monitors

Hoare monitor wait

```
if(...){
wait(cv, lock);
}
```

Mesa monitor wait

```
while(...){
```

```
wait(cv, lock);
```

- }
- Tradeoffs
  - Hoare monitors are easier to reason with, but hard to implement
  - Mesa monitors are easier to implement, and support additional operations like broadcast()

- We have a buffer of limited size N
  - Producers add to the buffer if it is not full
  - Consumers remove from the buffer if it is not empty
- Want to control buffer as a monitor
  - Buffer can only be accessed by methods that are "part of" the monitor, that only give one producer or consumer access to the buffer at a time
- Need 2 functions
  - add\_to\_buffer()
  - remove\_from\_buffer()
- Need
  - One lock
  - Two conditions
    - One for producers to wait
    - One for consumers to wait

#define N 100

```
typedef struct buf_s {
```

```
int data[N];
int inpos; /* producer inserts here */
int outpos; /* consumer removes from here */
int numelements; /* # items in buffer */
struct lock *mylock; /* access to monitor */
struct cv *notFull; /* for producers to wait */
struct cv *notEmpty; /* for consumers to wait */
```

} buf\_t;

buf\_t buffer; void add\_to\_buff(int value); int remove\_from\_buff();

```
void add_to_buf(int value) {
        lock_acquire(buffer.mylock);
                                                      What kind of
                                                     monitor is this?
        while (nelements == N) {
                 /* buffer is full, wait */
                 cv wait(buffer.notFull, buffer.mylock);
        buf.data[inpos] = value;
        inpos = (inpos + 1) \% N;
        nelements++;
        cv signal(buffer.notEmpty, buffer.mylock);
        lock release(buffer.mylock);
```

}

int remove\_from\_buf() {

}

```
int val;
lock_acquire(buffer.mylock);
while (nelements == 0) {
         /* buffer is empty, wait */
         cv wait(buffer.notEmpty, buffer.mylock);
}
val = buf.data[outpos];
outpos = (outpos + 1) % N;
nelements--;
cv_signal(buffer.notFull, buffer.mylock);
lock release(buffer.mylock);
```